

## Reformation of the Curricula on Built Environment in the Eastern Neighbouring Area

---

### Identification of the Appropriate Issues for Cross Institutional Module Sharing

By:  
Name of the University, Country  
February 2013



Tempus

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein

## Table of Contents

Table of Contents .....	1
1 Introduction.....	2
2 Promoting cross institutional module sharing .....	2
2.1 The need for cross institutional module sharing.....	2
2.2 Existing state of cross institutional module sharing .....	2
2.3 Benefits of cross institutional module sharing .....	2
2.4 Sub heading 4 .....	2
2.5 Sub heading 5 .....	2
2.6 Sub heading 6 .....	2
3 Readiness of the university for cross institutional module sharing .....	2
3.1 Administration constraints .....	2
3.2 Ability to share resources .....	2
3.3 Intellectual property.....	2
3.4 Addressing institutional requirements in assessment.....	2
3.5 Sub heading 5 .....	2
3.6 Sub heading 6 .....	2
4 Issues and Challenges in cross institutional module sharing .....	2
4.1 Access to geographically dispersed knowledge.....	2
4.2 Defining a common curricula.....	2
4.3 Defining a common assessment criteria.....	3
4.4 Language and culture .....	3
4.5 Technology readiness .....	3
4.6 Maintaining quality.....	3
4.7 Sub heading 7 .....	3
5 Conclusions & Recommendations.....	3
6 References.....	3

## **1 Introduction**

This is an introduction to the report.

Harvard style references are to be used, i.e., Martin (2013) where author's name appears in the text, or (Martin, 2013) where author's name is not cited explicitly in the text. All references should be listed in alphabetical order at the end of the paper. Please follow the pattern given under Section 6: References.

## **2 Promoting cross institutional module sharing**

### **2.1 The need for cross institutional module sharing**

### **2.2 Existing state of cross institutional module sharing**

### **2.3 Benefits of cross institutional module sharing**

### **2.4 Sub heading 4**

### **2.5 Sub heading 5**

### **2.6 Sub heading 6**

## **3 Readiness of the university for cross institutional module sharing**

### **3.1 Administration constraints**

### **3.2 Ability to share resources**

### **3.3 Intellectual property**

### **3.4 Addressing institutional requirements in assessment**

### **3.5 Sub heading 5**

### **3.6 Sub heading 6**

## **4 Issues and Challenges in cross institutional module sharing**

### **4.1 Access to geographically dispersed knowledge**

### **4.2 Defining a common curricula**

### **4.3 Defining a common assessment criteria**

### **4.4 Language and culture**

### **4.5 Technology readiness**

### **4.6 Maintaining quality**

### **4.7 Sub heading 7**

## **5 Conclusions & Recommendations**

This section will conclude the report and provide recommendations towards cross institutional module sharing.

## **6 References**

Use author / date approach here, such as the Harvard system or similar. See below for examples

Bardhan, P. and Mookherjee, D. (2006), "Decentralisation and accountability in infrastructure delivery in developing countries", *The Economic Journal*, Vol. 116 No. 1, pp. 101-127.

Kreimer, A., Arnold, M. and Carlin, A. (2003), "Building safer cities: the future of disaster risk", available at: [http://www.preventionweb.net/files/638\\_8681.pdf](http://www.preventionweb.net/files/638_8681.pdf) (accessed 22 February 2012).

Yin, R. K. (2009), *Case study research - design and methods*, 4th ed., Sage Publications Inc., California.